SUPREME COURT MINUTES TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 10, 2013 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S212204 B249696 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 LEWIS (VONDELL) ON H.C.

Petition ordered withdrawn

Pursuant to written request of petitioner, the above-entitled petition for review is ordered withdrawn.

S044739

PEOPLE v. BANKSTON (ANTHONY GEORGE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon State Public Defender Michael J. Hersek's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 20, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 12, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S080056

PEOPLE v. BELL (MICHAEL LEON)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General William K. Kim's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by November 8, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 8, 2013. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S084996

PEOPLE v. CHHOUN (RUN PETER)

Extension of time denied

Appellant's request for a further extension of time to file the reply brief is denied.

S087569

PEOPLE v. SANCHEZ (JUAN)

Extension of time denied

Appellant's request for further extension of time to file the opening brief is denied.

PEOPLE v. BARRERA (MARCOS ESQUIVEL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Susan S. Kim's representation that she anticipates filing the respondent's brief by October 6, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 7, 2013. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S128402

PEOPLE v. DONALDSON (JASARI LATIFUL)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Senior Deputy State Public Defender Harry Gruber's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by January 17, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 12, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 66 additional days are contemplated. An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S135272

PEOPLE v. DWORAK (DOUGLAS EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Diane Nichols's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 1, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 4, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S149039

PEOPLE v. AGUAYO (JOSEPH MORENO)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Pamala Sayasane's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 30, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 4, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 25 additional days will be granted.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. FORD (WAYNE ADAM)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to November 8, 2013.

S166168

PEOPLE v. LAMB (MICHAEL ALLAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Kathy M. Moreno's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by May 30 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 4, 2013. After that date, only four further extensions totaling about 207 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S172750

PEOPLE v. KENNEDY (JOHN FITZGERALD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Christian C. Buckley's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by October 31, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 1, 2013. After that date, only six further extensions totaling about 363 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S173896

LINDBERG (GUNNER JAY) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Robin Urbanski's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 31, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 8, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 52 additional days will be granted.

PEOPLE v. MAGALLON (MIGUEL ANGEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 23, 2013.

S178272

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (BILLY JOE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Mark D. Lenenberg's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by July 31, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 4, 2013. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 269 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S180404

McWHORTER (RICHARD ALLEN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Michael Clough's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by November 10, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 12, 2013. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S206963

CRUZ (GERALD DEAN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General David M. Baskind's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by December 31, 2013, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 31, 2013. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 60 additional days is contemplated.

NIEVES (SANDI DAWN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Amitai Schwartz's representation that he anticipates filing the reply to the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 15, 2014, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 8, 2013. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 67 additional days are contemplated.

S208803

PEARSON (DONDRE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of petitioner and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply to the informal response is extended to October 21, 2013.

S205889 G045579 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

FLUOR CORPORATION v. S.C. (HARTFORD ACCIDENT & INDEMNITY COMPANY)

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Patrick F. Hofer for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of Stonewall Insurance Company is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S205889 G045579 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3

FLUOR CORPORATION v. S.C. (HARTFORD ACCIDENT & INDEMNITY COMPANY)

Application to appear as counsel pro hac vice granted

The application of Traci S. Rea for admission pro hac vice to appear on behalf of amicus curiae Henry Company LLC and Parsons Corporation is hereby granted. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.40.)

S211933 B224505 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. MAURICIO (MICHAEL ANGELO)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Joanna McKim is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S194951 A128647 First Appellate District, Div. 3

SANDER (RICHARD) v. STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellants in the above-referenced cause to allow two counsel to argue on behalf of appellants at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request of appellants to allocate to James Chadwick 20 minutes and Jean-Paul Jassy 10 minutes of appellants' 30-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S200872 B231245 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 LONG BEACH POLICE

OFFICERS ASSOCIATION v. CITY OF LONG BEACH (LOS ANGELES TIMES COMMUNICATIONS LLC)

Request for judicial notice denied

The Request for Judicial Notice filed on October 22, 2012, by Real Party in Interest Los Angeles Times Communications LLC is denied.

The Request for Judicial Notice filed on September 20, 2012, by Californians Aware et al., Amici Curiae for Real Party in Interest, is denied.

S213231 H.M. v. S.C. (LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT OF CHILDREN & FAMILY SERVICES)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 1,098)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)